



ELSEVIER

Linear Algebra and its Applications 298 (1999) 39–50

 LINEAR ALGEBRA
AND ITS
APPLICATIONS

www.elsevier.com/locate/laa

A matrix-decomposition theorem for $GL_n(K)$

Florian Bünger, Klaus Nielsen *

Mathematisches Seminar, Universität Kiel, Ludewig-Meyn-Strasse 4, D-24098 Kiel, Germany

Received 27 November 1997; accepted 14 June 1999

Submitted by T.J. Laffey

Abstract

Given an arbitrary commutative field K , $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 3}$ and two monic polynomials q and r over K of degree $n - 1$ and n such that $q(0) \neq 0 \neq r(0)$. We prove that any non-scalar invertible $n \times n$ matrix M can be written as a product of two matrices A and B , where the minimum polynomial of A is divisible by q and B is cyclic with minimum polynomial r . This result yields that the Thompson conjecture is true for $PSL_n(\mathbb{F}_3)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 3}$, and $PSL_{2n+1}(\mathbb{F}_2)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If G is such a group, then G has a conjugacy class Ω such that $G = \Omega^2$. In particular each element of G is a commutator. © 1999 Published by Elsevier Science Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Matrix factorization; Product of cyclic matrices; Conjugacy class

1. Introduction

J.G. Thompson conjectured that every finite simple non-abelian group G possesses a conjugacy class Ω with $G = \Omega^2$. Lev [3] proves in his doctoral thesis that the Thompson conjecture is true for all finite simple projective linear groups $PSL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ where $(n, q) \neq (2, 2), (2, 3)$. Lev [5] states the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Lev). *Let K be a field, $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$, Ω_1, Ω_2 similarity classes of cyclic $n \times n$ -matrices such that the minimum polynomial of Ω_1 decomposes in $K[x]$ into linear factors.*

- (a) *If $n \geq 3$ and $|K| \geq 4$, then $\Omega_1\Omega_2$ contains every non-scalar matrix with determinant $\det \Omega_1\Omega_2$.*

* Corresponding author.

- (b) Let $n = 2$, then every non-scalar matrix with determinant $\det \Omega_1 \Omega_2$ is contained in $\Omega_1 \Omega_2$ if and only if the eigenvalues of Ω_1 are distinct or the minimum polynomial of Ω_2 also decomposes into $K[x]$ into linear factors.

For $n = 2$ the proof follows by direct calculations. The arguments used in the proof for the case $n \geq 3$ are very interesting but rather complicated.

It follows from 1.1 that the Thompson-conjecture is true for $\text{PSL}_n(K)$ provided that $|K| \geq 4$ and $n \geq 2$. For $n \geq 3$ our decomposition theorem yields the same result (cf. 6.1). We feel that its proof is less involved than the one of 1.1. Moreover, the Thompson-conjecture can be proved even for $\text{PSL}_n(\mathbf{F}_3)$ where $n \geq 3$, and $\text{PSL}_{2n+1}(\mathbf{F}_2)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (cf. 6.1). Thus the only simple projective special linear groups for which our results do not imply the validity of the Thompson-conjecture are the groups $\text{PSL}_{2n}(\mathbf{F}_2)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$.

For general results concerning Thompson's conjecture in arbitrary finite simple Chevalley groups we refer to a paper of Ellers and Gordeev [1].

The main theorem of this paper is as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *Let K be a field and $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 3}$. Suppose that $M \in \text{GL}_n(K)$ is not a homothety, that Ω is a cyclic $\text{GL}_n(K)$ -similarity class and that $q \in K[x]$ is a monic polynomial of degree $n - 1$ whose constant term $q(0)$ does not vanish. Then there is a $\text{GL}_n(K)$ -similarity class Δ whose minimum polynomial is divisible by q such that $M \in \Delta\Omega$.*

2. Notations

Throughout this paper let K be a (commutative) field. For a linear mapping π let $\text{char}(\pi)$ denote the characteristic polynomial of π .

Notation 2.1. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we write I_n for the unit element in the group of all invertible $n \times n$ -matrices over K . For $m \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ the $m \times m$ permutation matrix corresponding to the cyclic permutation $(1 \dots m)$ of the symmetric group on m letters is denoted by $R(R_m)$. In matrix notation this reads

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} & I_{m-1} \\ 1 & \end{bmatrix} \in \text{GL}_m(K).$$

Notation 2.2. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $J_n := (\delta_{i,n+1-j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} \in \text{GL}_n(K)$. For $l, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A \in K^{l \times m}$ let $A^r := J_l A J_m = (A_{l+1-i, m+1-j})_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq l} \times \mathbb{N}_{\leq m}}$.

Remark 2.3. Let $l, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A \in K^{l \times m}$. The matrix A^r is obtained by reflection of A at the center of A . In particular, if $l = m$ and A is a lower triangular matrix, then A^r is an upper triangular matrix.

Notation 2.4. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A \in K^{m \times m}$ let

$$\begin{aligned} U_A &:= (A_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq m-1}, \\ u_A &:= (A_{1,m}, \dots, A_{m-1,m})^t, \\ v_A &:= (A_{m,1}, \dots, A_{m,m-1}), \\ L_A &:= (A_{i,j})_{2 \leq i,j \leq m}, \\ l_A &:= (A_{1,2}, \dots, A_{1,m}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, put

$$Y_A := \text{diag}(1, U_A) \in K^{m \times m} \text{ and } Z_A := \text{diag}(L_A, 1) \in K^{m \times m}.$$

Remark 2.5. With the denotations of 2.4 we have

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} U_A & u_A \\ v_A & A_{m,m} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{1,1} & l_A \\ * & L_A \end{bmatrix}.$$

If A is a regular triangular matrix then U_A, L_A, Y_A and Z_A are regular.

Observation 2.6. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A \in K^{m \times m}$. The following identities hold true:

- (i) $U_A^t = U_{A^t}$,
- (ii) $U_A^r = L_{A^r}$,
- (iii) $((Y_A)^t)^r = Z_{(A^t)^r}$.

Proof. (i) and (ii) are obvious since

$$A^t = \begin{bmatrix} U_A & u_A \\ v_A & A_{m,m} \end{bmatrix}^t = \begin{bmatrix} U_A^t & v_A^t \\ u_A^t & A_{m,m} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$A^r = \begin{bmatrix} U_A & u_A \\ v_A & A_{m,m} \end{bmatrix}^r = \begin{bmatrix} A_{m,m} & v_A^r \\ u_A^r & U_A^r \end{bmatrix}.$$

Finally, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} ((Y_A)^t)^r &= (\text{diag}(1, U_A)^t)^r = \text{diag}(1, U_{A^t})^r = \text{diag}(U_{A^t}^r, 1) \\ &= \text{diag}(L_{(A^t)^r}^r, 1) = Z_{(A^t)^r}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Notation 2.7. Let $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$, $m := r + s$, $a = (a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}) \in K^{m-1}$ and $A \in K^{r-1 \times s-1}$. We define

$$a^\Gamma A := \left[\begin{array}{c|c} a_r & \dots & a_{m-1} \\ \vdots & & \\ a_1 & & A \end{array} \right] \in K^{r \times s}.$$

3. Special elements of cyclic conjugacy classes

Lemma 3.1. *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-1} \in K^*$, $\alpha_r \in K$. Suppose that $A \in K^{r \times r}$ is an upper triangular matrix with diagonal entries $A_{i,i} = \alpha_i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq r}$. There is a unipotent upper triangular matrix $D \in \text{GL}_r(K)$ such that*

$$Y_{D^{-1}}AD = \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r).$$

Proof. We use induction on r . For $r = 1$ take $D := 1$. For $r \geq 2$ we can apply the induction hypothesis to $A' := U_A$. Observe that A' is regular since $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-1}$ are non-zero elements. Let $G \in \text{GL}_{r-1}(K)$ be a unipotent upper triangular matrix satisfying

$$Y_{G^{-1}}A'G = \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-1}).$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} a &:= u_A, & H &:= U_{G^{-1}}, \\ h &:= u_{G^{-1}}, & h' &:= (0, h^t)^t, \\ J &:= Y_{G^{-1}}, & g &:= -A'^{-1}a - \alpha_r(JA')^{-1}h' \end{aligned}$$

and

$$D := \begin{bmatrix} G & g \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have $U_{D^{-1}} = G^{-1}$ and

$$Y_{D^{-1}} = \text{diag}(1, G^{-1}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & H & h \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} J & h' \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Finally, we see:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{D^{-1}}AD &= \begin{bmatrix} J & h' \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A' & a \\ & \alpha_r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} G & g \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} JA' & Ja + \alpha_r h' \\ & \alpha_r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} G & g \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} JA'G & JA'g + Ja + \alpha_r h' \\ & \alpha_r \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

The following result is similar to Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta_2, \dots, \beta_s \in K^*$, $\beta_1 \in K$. Suppose that $B \in K^{s \times s}$ is an upper triangular matrix with diagonal entries $B_{i,i} = \beta_i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq s}$. There is a unipotent upper triangular matrix $D \in \text{GL}_s(K)$ such that*

$$DBZ_{D^{-1}} = \text{diag}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s).$$

Proof. We define $A := (B^t)^t$. Then A is an upper $s \times s$ matrix with diagonal entries $A_{i,i} = \beta_{s+1-i}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq s}$. Now 3.1 supplies a unipotent upper triangular matrix $G \in \text{GL}_s(K)$ such that $Y_{G^{-1}}AG = \text{diag}(\beta_s, \dots, \beta_1)$. Consequently,

$$(G^t)^r B((Y_{G^{-1}})^t)^r = (\text{diag}(\beta_s, \dots, \beta_1)^t)^r = \text{diag}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s).$$

Finally, $D := (G^t)^r$ is a unipotent upper triangular matrix and $((Y_{G^{-1}})^t)^r = Z_{D^{-1}}$ by 2.6. \square

Now we put Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 together.

Lemma 3.3. Let $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-1}, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s \in K^*$ and $\alpha_r, \beta_1 \in K$. Suppose that $A \in K^{r \times r}$ and $B \in K^{s \times s}$ are upper triangular matrices with diagonal entries $A_{i,i} = \alpha_i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq r}$, and $B_{i,i} = \beta_i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq s}$ and C is an arbitrary $r \times s$ matrix. Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & D^{-1} & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & C' \\ & B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D & \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) & C \\ \hline & \text{diag}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s) \end{array} \right]$$

for some unipotent upper triangular matrix $D \in \text{GL}_{r+s-1}(K)$ and a matrix $C' \in K^{r \times s}$.

Proof. By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 there are unipotent upper triangular matrices $P \in \text{GL}_r(K)$ and $Q \in \text{GL}_s(K)$ such that

$$Y_{P^{-1}}AP = \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \quad \text{and} \quad QBZ_{Q^{-1}} = \text{diag}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s).$$

Let $v = (\delta_{i,r})_{1 \leq i \leq r} \in K^r$ denote the row with the first $r-1$ entries 0 and the last entry equals 1.

Put

$$\begin{aligned} E &:= U_{P^{-1}}, & e &:= u_{P^{-1}}, & e' &:= (0, e^t)^t, \\ F &:= L_{Q^{-1}}, & f &:= (h, 0), & f' &:= (f, 0), \\ g &:= l_Q, & g' &:= (1, g), \\ Y &:= Y_{D^{-1}}, & Z &:= Z_{Q^{-1}}, & C' &:= Y^{-1}(C - YAv^t f' - e' g' BZ)Z^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$D := \begin{bmatrix} P & v^t f' \\ & F \end{bmatrix}.$$

First we observe:

$$\begin{bmatrix} D & \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} P & v^t f' \\ & Z \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & f \\ & F \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -fF^{-1} \\ & F^{-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

i.e., $g = -fF^{-1}$. Since $P^{-1}v^t = (e^t, 1)$ we get

$$P^{-1}v^t g = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} eg \\ \hline g \end{array} \right].$$

This yields

$$D^{-1} = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} P^{-1} & -P^{-1}v^t f F^{-1} & \\ \hline & F^{-1} & \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} P^{-1} & \begin{array}{c|c} eg \\ \hline g \end{array} \\ \hline & F^{-1} \end{array} \right].$$

Thus we deduce

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & \\ \hline & D^{-1} \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} 1 & & \\ \hline & P^{-1} & \begin{array}{c|c} eg \\ \hline g \end{array} \\ \hline & & F^{-1} \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} 1 & & \\ \hline & E & \begin{array}{c|c} e & eg \\ \hline 1 & g \end{array} \\ \hline & & F^{-1} \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} Y & e'g' \\ \hline & Q \end{array} \right]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & \\ \hline & D^{-1} \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c|c} A & C' \\ \hline & B \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c|c} D & \\ \hline & 1 \end{array} \right] &= \left[\begin{array}{c|c} Y & e'g' \\ \hline & Q \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c|c} A & C' \\ \hline & B \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c|c} P & v^t f' \\ \hline & Z \end{array} \right] \\ &= \left[\begin{array}{c|c} YA & YC' + e'g'B \\ \hline & QB \end{array} \right] \\ &= \left[\begin{array}{c|c} YAP & YAv^t f' + YC'Z + e'g'BZ \\ \hline & QBZ \end{array} \right] \\ &= \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) & C \\ \hline & \text{diag}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s) \end{array} \right]. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

A reformulation of Lemma 3.3 is as follows.

Lemma 3.4. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 3.3 there is a unipotent upper triangular matrix $G \in \text{GL}_{r+s}(K)$ and a matrix $C' \in K^{r \times s}$ such that*

$$G^{-1}R \left[\begin{array}{c|c} A & C' \\ \hline & B \end{array} \right] G = R \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) & C \\ \hline & \text{diag}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s) \end{array} \right].$$

Proof. Choose D and C' as in the conclusion of 3.3 and put $G := \text{diag}(D, 1)$. Since $R^{-1}G^{-1}R = \text{diag}(1, D^{-1})$ Lemma 3.3 yields the assertion. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$, $r \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq n-1}$, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-1}, \alpha_{r+1}, \dots, \alpha_n \in K^*$, $\alpha_r \in K$ and $c \in K^{n-1}$. The matrix*

$$M := R \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) & c^\top 0 \\ \hline & \text{diag}(\alpha_{r+1}, \dots, \alpha_n) \end{array} \right]$$

is cyclic and its characteristic polynomial is

$$x^n - \sum_{t=r}^{n-1} c_t \left(\prod_{u=t+2}^n \alpha_u \right) x^t - \left(\alpha_1 \prod_{u=r+2}^n \alpha_u \right) \sum_{t=1}^{r-1} \left(\prod_{u=2}^{r-t} \alpha_u \right) c_t x^t - \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i.$$

In particular, if $p \in K[x]$ is a monic polynomial of degree n and $p(0) = -\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n$, then c can be chosen such that $\text{char}(M) = p$.

Proof. For $i \in \mathbb{N}$ let $e_i = (\delta_{i,j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq n}}$ denote the row of length n whose i th entry is 1 and whose other entries are zero. Put $D := R^{-1}M$, $s := n - r$, $v := e_r$ and $v^i := vM^i$, $0 \leq i \leq n$. We claim that $\{v^i; 0 \leq i \leq n-1\}$ is a basis for K^n . Precisely, we will compute the M -annihilator of v .

Step 1. For $i \in \{0, \dots, s\}$ we have

$$v^i = \left(\prod_{j=1}^i \alpha_{r+j} \right) e_{r+i}. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. This formula is verified by a trivial induction on i . For $i = 0$ the identity $v^i = v^0 = v = e_r$ proves (3.1).

Now suppose that $i \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq s}$ and that (3.1) is true for $i-1$. Since $r+i-1 \leq n-1$, we have

$$e_{r+i-1}M = e_{r+i-1}RD = e_{r+i}D = \alpha_{r+i}e_{r+i}.$$

Now the induction hypothesis yields

$$v^i = v^{i-1}M = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \alpha_{r+j} \right) e_{r+i-1}M = \prod_{j=1}^i \alpha_{r+j} e_{r+i}. \quad \square$$

Observe that $\alpha_{r+1} \neq 0$.

Step 2. For $i \in \{1, \dots, r-1\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_1M^i &= \left(\prod_{j=2}^{i+1} \alpha_j \right) e_{i+1} + \sum_{j=1}^i \left(\prod_{l=2}^j \alpha_l \right) c_{r-j} e_{r+1} M^{i-j} \\ &= \left(\prod_{j=2}^{i+1} \alpha_j \right) e_{i+1} + \alpha_{r+1}^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^i \left(\prod_{l=2}^j \alpha_l \right) c_{r-j} v^{i-j+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. The assertion is trivial for $r = 1$. Thus we may assume that $r \geq 2$.

We use induction on i . First observe that (3.1) yields $e_{r+1} = \alpha_{r+1}^{-1} v^1$. If $i = 1$, then

$$e_1M = e_1RD = e_2D = \alpha_2e_2 + c_{r-1}e_{r+1} = \alpha_2e_2 + \alpha_{r+1}^{-1}c_{r-1}v^1.$$

Now suppose that $r \geq 3$, $i \in \{2, \dots, r-1\}$ and that (3.2) holds true for $i-1$. Then $e_i M = e_i R D = e_{i+1} D = \alpha_{i+1} e_{i+1} + c_{r-i} e_{r+1}$. The induction hypothesis yields

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 M^i &= (e_1 M^{i-1}) M = \left(\prod_{j=2}^i \alpha_j \right) e_i M + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \left(\prod_{l=2}^j \alpha_l \right) c_{r-j} e_{r+1} M^{i-j} \\ &= \left(\prod_{j=2}^{i+1} \alpha_j \right) e_{i+1} + \sum_{j=1}^i \left(\prod_{l=2}^j \alpha_l \right) c_{r-j} e_{r+1} M^{i-j} \\ &= \left(\prod_{j=2}^{i+1} \alpha_j \right) e_{i+1} + \alpha_{r+1}^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^i \left(\prod_{l=2}^j \alpha_l \right) c_{r-j} v^{i-j+1}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

For $i \in \{0, \dots, s\}$ and $j \in \{0, \dots, r-1\}$ put

$$\beta_i := \prod_{k=1}^i \alpha_{r+k} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_j := \prod_{k=2}^{j+1} \alpha_k.$$

Let $i \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq r}$. Then (3.1) and (3.2) yield

$$\begin{aligned} v^{s+i} &= v^s M^i = \beta_s (e_n R D) M^{i-1} = \beta_s e_1 D M^{i-1} \\ &= \beta_s \left(\alpha_1 e_1 + \sum_{j=r+1}^n c_{j-1} e_j \right) M^{i-1} \\ &= \beta_s \left(\alpha_1 \gamma_{r-1} e_i + \alpha_1 \alpha_{r+1}^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \gamma_{j-1} c_{r-j} v^{i-j} + \sum_{j=r+1}^n c_{j-1} \beta_{j-r}^{-1} v^{j-r+i-1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Since $\beta_i \neq 0$ for $i \in \{0, \dots, s\}$ step 1 implies $\langle e_r, \dots, e_n \rangle = \langle v^0, \dots, v^s \rangle$. Since $\gamma_i \neq 0$ for $i \in \{0, \dots, r-2\}$ (3.3) implies $e_i \in \langle v^0, \dots, v^{n-1} \rangle$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq r-1}$. Thus M is cyclic. For $i = r$ (3.3) reads

$$v^n = \beta_s \left(\alpha_1 \gamma_{r-1} v^0 + \alpha_1 \alpha_{r+1}^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \gamma_{j-1} c_{r-j} v^{r-j} + \sum_{j=r+1}^n c_{j-1} \beta_{j-r}^{-1} v^{j-1} \right).$$

As $\beta_s \alpha_1 \gamma_{r-1} = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n$ this means that

$$x^n - \sum_{t=r}^{n-1} c_t \beta_s \beta_{t-r+1}^{-1} x^t - \sum_{t=1}^{r-1} c_t \beta_s \alpha_1 \alpha_{r+1}^{-1} \gamma_{r-t-1} x^t - \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$$

$$= x^n - \sum_{t=r}^{n-1} c_t \left(\prod_{u=t+2}^n \alpha_u \right) x^t - \left(\alpha_1 \prod_{u=r+2}^n \alpha_u \right) \sum_{t=1}^{r-1} \left(\prod_{u=2}^{r-t} \alpha_u \right) c_t x^t - \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$$

is the M -annihilator of v . \square

4. Products of two cyclic conjugacy classes

In this section we want to prove an analogue to Lev's result [4], Lemma 5, that applies also to singular matrices. The arguments require a generalized version of Sourour's Theorem 1 in [6]. The proof of this generalization is the same as the proof of Theorem 4.5.4, p. 289, given in [2] together with the second subsequent exercise. The assumption $K = \mathbb{C}$ of the proof given in [2] is not used. (Compare also [7, Theorem 1].)

Theorem 4.1 (Sourour). *Let $A \in K^{n \times n}$, $k := \text{rank } A$, $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k \in K^*$ and $\gamma_{k+1} = \dots = \gamma_n = 0$. If A is regular, assume that A is not a homothety and that $\prod_{j=1}^n \beta_j \gamma_j = \det A$. There exist a lower triangular matrix B , an upper triangular matrix C such that $B_{i,i} = \beta_i$, $C_{i,i} = \gamma_i$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq n}$ and A is similar to BC . \square*

Theorem 4.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$, $M \in K^{n \times n}$, Δ a cyclic similarity class in $\text{GL}_n(K)$ and Ω a cyclic similarity class in $K^{n \times n}$ with the following properties:*

- (i) $\text{char}(M) = pp'$ for monic polynomials $p, p' \in K[x]$, $p'(0) \neq 0$ such that p is prime to p' ;
- (ii) $\dim \ker M = \dim \ker \Omega \leq 1$;
- (iii) $\det M = \det \Delta \det \Omega$.

Then $M \in \Delta\Omega$.

Proof. Let $s := \deg(p) \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t := \deg(p') \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $s + t = n$ and we may assume that $M = \text{diag}(A, A')$ for some $A \in K^{s \times s}$ and some $A' \in \text{GL}_t(K)$ such that $\text{char}(A) = p$ and $\text{char}(A') = p'$. By Sourour's Theorem 4.1 or using a trivial argument [in the scalar case] we can find elements $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{s-1}, \gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_{t-1} \in K^*$, $\gamma_s = (\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{s-1})^{-1} \det A$, $\gamma'_t = (\gamma'_1 \cdots \gamma'_{t-1}) \det \Delta^{-1} \det A'$ and matrices

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ * & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \text{GL}_s(K), \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1 & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \gamma_s \end{bmatrix} \in K^{s \times s},$$

$$B' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ * & & \det \Delta \end{bmatrix} \in \text{GL}_t(K), \quad C' = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma'_1 & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \gamma'_t \end{bmatrix} \in \text{GL}_t(K),$$

such that A is similar to BC and A' is similar to $B'C'$. Thus we may assume that $M = \text{diag}(BC, B'C')$. We have $\det B \det B' = \det \Delta$ and

$$\det C \det C' = \det A \det \Delta^{-1} \det A' = \det M \det \Delta^{-1} = \det \Omega.$$

Now Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5 supply $P, Q \in K^{s \times t}$ such that

$$Y := R \begin{bmatrix} B^r & P \\ B'^r & \end{bmatrix} \in \Delta \quad \text{and} \quad Z := R \begin{bmatrix} C & Q \\ C' & \end{bmatrix} \in \Omega.$$

Put $J := \text{diag}(J_s, J_t)$. A simple computation shows that $R^J = R^r = R^t = R^{-1}$. Finally, we get

$$Y^{RJ}Z = \begin{bmatrix} B & P^r \\ B' & \end{bmatrix} R^{-1}R \begin{bmatrix} C & Q \\ C' & \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & * \\ & A' \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $\text{char}(A)$ is prime to $\text{char}(A')$ the matrix occurring on the right-hand side of the last equation is similar to M . The proof is completed as $Y^{RJ}Z$ belongs to $\Delta\Omega$. \square

Observe that condition (iv) of Theorem 4.2 is always true when M and A are singular.

5. Proof of the main theorem

Case A: M is not cyclic. Since M is not a homothety we may assume that $M = \text{diag}(M_1, M_2)$ where

$$M_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_{m-1} \\ \delta & p \end{bmatrix} \in \text{GL}_m(K), \quad p \in K^{m-1},$$

is a companion matrix for some $m \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$. Write

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} N & a \\ b & \gamma \end{bmatrix},$$

where $N := U_M$, $a := u_M$, $b := v_M$ (cf. 2.4), $\gamma := M_{n,n}$. Then N is singular, $\dim \ker N = 1$ and $\text{char}(N) = \text{char}(M_1)x^{m-1}$. Since $m \geq 2$, $\text{char}(M_1)$ and x^{m-1} are prime to each other we may assume by Theorem 4.2 that $N = CD$ where $C \in \text{GL}_{n-1}(K)$ has minimum polynomial q and $D \in K^{n-1 \times n-1}$ is a cyclic nilpotent upper triangular matrix. Let $d := C^{-1}a$. Since

$$\begin{bmatrix} C^{-1} & \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} CD & a \\ b & \gamma \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D & d \\ b & \gamma \end{bmatrix}$$

is regular and the last row of D is zero, we see that $d_{n-1} \neq 0$. By Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5 we find $e \in K^{n-1}$ and $\beta \in K^*$ such that

$$E := \begin{bmatrix} D & d \\ e & \beta \end{bmatrix} \in \Omega. \quad (5.1)$$

Put

$$A := ME^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} F & g \\ h & \alpha \end{bmatrix}, \quad F := U_A, \quad g := u_A, \quad h := v_A, \quad \alpha := A_{n,n}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} CD & a \\ b & \gamma \end{bmatrix} = M = AE = \begin{bmatrix} FD + ge & Fd + \beta g \\ hD + \alpha e & hd + \alpha\beta \end{bmatrix}.$$

This implies $CD = FD + ge$. Since the first column of D is zero, we get $ge_1 = 0$ and $e_1 \neq 0$ (cf. 5.1). This means that $g = 0$ and $C[D|d] = [CD|a] = F[D|d]$. But $[D|d]$ has rank $n - 1$, hence $F = C$ and case A is finished.

Case B: M is cyclic. Let $\alpha := (-1)^{n-1}q(0) \det M \det \Omega^{-1}$.

B1: $q \neq (x - \alpha)^{n-1}$. Choose an arbitrary matrix $A \in \text{GL}_n(K)$ whose minimum polynomial is divisible by q and $x - \alpha$. The assumption yields that $\text{char}(A)$ has two distinct prime divisors. Hence Theorem 4.2 proves $A \in M^{\text{GL}_n(K)}\Omega^{-1}$ and we are done.

B2: $q = (x - \alpha)^{n-1}$. Since M is cyclic, we may assume that

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_{n-1} \\ b & \gamma \end{bmatrix}$$

is a companion matrix. Put $N := U_M$, $a := u_M$, $b := v_M$ (cf. 2.4) and $\gamma := M_{n,n}$. Then N is a cyclic nilpotent upper triangular matrix. Let $C \in \text{GL}_{n-1}(K)$ be a cyclic upper triangular matrix with diagonal entries $C_{i,i} = \alpha$, $i \in \mathbb{N}_{\leq n-1}$. Then $D := C^{-1}N$ is a cyclic nilpotent upper triangular matrix. Now we can proceed as in case A. This completes the proof. \square

6. The Thompson conjecture for $\text{PSL}_n(K)$

As we said in the introduction, we can use Theorem 1.2 in order to prove the Thompson-conjecture for $\text{PSL}_n(K)$ if $|K| \geq 3$ and $\text{PSL}_{2n+1}(\mathbf{F}_2)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 6.1. *Let K be a field and $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$. If $|K| \leq 3$, we assume that $n \geq 3$. Moreover, if $K = \mathbf{F}_2$, we assume that n is odd. Then $\text{PSL}_n(K)$ contains a conjugacy class Ω such that $\text{PSL}_n(K) = \Omega^2$.*

Proof. In the sequel we tacitly use the fact that a $\text{GL}_n(K)$ -conjugacy class contained in $\text{SL}_n(K)$ which possesses an eigenvalue of multiplicity one is a $\text{SL}_n(K)$ -conjugacy class.

Case A: $|K| \geq 4$. Choose $\alpha \in K \setminus \{0, 1, -1\}$. Let $q := (x - 1)^{n-2}(x - \alpha^{-1})$ and Ω be the cyclic $\text{GL}_n(K)$ -conjugacy class with characteristic polynomial $(x - \alpha)q$. This polynomial is selfreciprocal. Hence $\Omega = \Omega^{-1}$ thus $1 \in \Omega^2$. We have $\det \Omega = 1$ and α is an eigenvalue of Ω of multiplicity one. Hence Ω is a $\text{SL}_n(K)$ -conjugacy class. Let $M \in \text{SL}_n(K)$, M not a homothety. Then $x - (-1)^{n-1}q(0) \det M \det \Omega^{-1}$

$= x - \alpha$ is prime to q . If $n \geq 3$, then 1.2 supplies $A \in \text{GL}_n(K)$ with minimum polynomial $(x - \alpha)q$ and $B \in \Omega$ such that $M = AB$. As $A \in \Omega$, hence $M \in \Omega^2$. If $n = 2$, then $\text{char}(\Omega) = (x - \alpha)(x - \alpha^{-1})$ and M is contained in Ω^2 by the simple part of Lev's Theorem 1.1.

Case B: $|K| \leq 3$. Then $n \geq 3$ by our assumption.

B1: n is odd. Then $n - 1 \geq 2$ is even. For $K = \mathbf{F}_2$ let $q := (x^2 + x + 1)^{(n-1)/2}$ and if $K = \mathbf{F}_3$ put $q := (x^2 + 1)^{(n-1)/2}$. Then q is a monic selfreciprocal polynomial and $q(0) = 1$. Let Ω be the cyclic $\text{GL}_n(K)$ -conjugacy class with characteristic polynomial $(x - 1)q$. It is easy to see that $\det \Omega = 1$, $\Omega = \Omega^{-1}$ and that Ω is a $\text{SL}_n(K)$ -conjugacy class. If $M \in \text{SL}_n(K)$ is not a homothety then $x - (-1)^{n-1}q(0) \det M \det \Omega^{-1} = x - (-1)^{n-1} = x - 1$ is prime to q . Again 1.2 yields $M \in \Omega^2$.

B2: n is even. Then $K = \mathbf{F}_3$, $n \geq 4$ and $-1 \in \text{SL}_n(K)$. Put

$$q := (x + 1)(x^2 + x - 1)(x^2 + 1)^{(n-4)/2}.$$

Let Ω be the cyclic $\text{GL}_n(K)$ -conjugacy class with characteristic polynomial $(x - 1)q$. Then $\det \Omega = (-1)^n \text{char}(\Omega)(0) = (-1)^{n+2} = 1$ and Ω is a $\text{SL}_n(K)$ -conjugacy class as Ω possesses eigenvalues of multiplicity one. Furthermore $\Omega = -\Omega^{-1}$ implies $-1 \in \Omega^2$. If $M \in \text{SL}_n(K)$ is not a homothety then $x - (-1)^{n-1}q(0) \det M \det \Omega^{-1} = x - (-1)^{n-2} = x - 1$ is prime to q and 1.2 yields $M \in \Omega^2$. The proof is completed. \square

References

- [1] E.W. Ellers, N. Gordeev, On the conjectures of J. Thompson and O. Ore, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 350 (1998) 3657–3671.
- [2] R. Horn, C. Johnson, *Topics in Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [3] A. Lev, *Products of Conjugacy Classes in the Groups $\text{PSL}_n(F)$* , Ph.D. Thesis, Tel-Aviv University, 1994.
- [4] A. Lev, Products of cyclic conjugacy classes in the groups $\text{PSL}(n, F)$, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 179 (1993) 59–83.
- [5] A. Lev, Products of cyclic similarity classes in the groups $\text{GL}_n(F)$, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 202 (1994) 235–266.
- [6] A.R. Sourour, A factorization theorem for matrices, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 19 (1986) 141–147.
- [7] A.R. Sourour, K. Tang, Factorization of singular matrices, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 116 (3) (1992) 629–634.